

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

FERNANDO GASTELUM,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANTHONY CANCIAMILLA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 21-cv-05955-VKD

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
SETTLEMENT**

The Court having been informed that the parties have agreed to a settlement, all previously scheduled deadlines and appearances are VACATED.


On or before **February 11, 2022**, plaintiff shall file a voluntary dismissal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(i). Rule 41(a)(1) permits a plaintiff to voluntarily dismiss a case without a court order (i) by notice if the defendants have not filed an answer or motion for summary judgment, or (ii) by stipulation signed by all parties who have appeared. Because defendants have not yet answered, plaintiff may file a notice of dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(i).

If a dismissal is not filed by the specified date, then the parties shall appear in Courtroom 2, 5th Floor, 280 South First Street, San Jose, California on **February 22, 2022, 10:00 a.m.** and show cause, if any, why the case should not be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a). Additionally, the parties shall file a statement in response to this Order to Show Cause no later than February 15, 2022 advising as to (1) the status of the activities of the parties in finalizing settlement; and (2) how much additional time, if any, is requested to finalize the settlement and file the dismissal. If a dismissal is filed as ordered, the Order to Show Cause hearing will be automatically vacated and the parties need not file a statement in response to this Order.

United States District Court
Northern District of California

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 13, 2021


VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI
United States Magistrate Judge